FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE.
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.885 OF 2006

IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4987 OF 2005

Office Notes, Office Memorada orders or directions and Registrar‘s orders.	Court‘s or Judge‘s Orders.

Shri I.Chagla Sr. Counsel with Mr. Raythattha i/b. m/s. RMG Law Associates for applicant and Respdt. no.4 to 9.

Shri S.J.Chaurasia i/b.Asoka Law Firm for petitioner in W.P.4987/05.
Shri P.I.Khemani AGP for Respdt. 1 to 3.

CORAM; V.G.PALSHIKAR &
V.R.KINGAONKAR, JJ.

DTAED: 13TH APRIL,2006.

P.C.

1. By this Civil Application the petitioner prays for a direction to the Charity Commissioner to decide the applications pending before him. In reply the petitioner no.2 has filed an affidavit stating that the Charity Commissioner or the Deputy Charity Commissioner as the case may be, is already in

the session of the matter and various dates are given. Taking into consideration this factual aspect, there is no impediment in directing the respondent no.3 to take up and decide those applications on their on merit after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned. The applications be disposed of as expeditiously as possible. C.A. accordingly disposed of.

2. It is clarified that the order of status quo pertains to implementation of the notification under challenge does not prevent the Charity Commissioner or the concerned authority from dealing with the applications in pending matters. Similarly fresh applications can also be dealt with in the similar fashion.

...